



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,045	12/22/2000	Antonio J. Colmenarez	US 000403	9048	
24737 75	90 04/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			SHAPIRO	SHAPIRO, LEONID	
P.O. BOX 3001	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIARCEITT	Marion, III 10310		2673		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/200	. 17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/746,045	COLMENAREZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonid Shapiro	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	February 2004.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>d)</b> .		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	nts have been received.	,,,,,,			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
application from the International Bure	<del>-</del>				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	8) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2673

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (US Patent No. 6,346,933 B1) in view of Koike et al. (US patent No. 5,448,261).

As to claim 1, Lin teaches a system with: at least one light source in a movable hand-held device, the movable hand-held device being capable of sending control signals to a remotely controllable device (See Fig. 1, items 11,30, in description see Col. 3, lines 25-45); at least on light detector that detects light from light source (See Fig. 1, item 31, in description see Col. 3, lines 32-35); a control unit that receives image data from at least one light detector (See Fig. 1, item 30, in description see Col. 3, lines 32-45); wherein the control unit detects position of the light spot on a screen in at least two-dimensions from the image data from the at least one light detector and translates the position to control a feature on a display (See Fig. 1, items 11, 30,31,37 in description see Col. 3, lines 46-54).

Lin does not teach the control unit detects position of the hand-held device in at least two-dimensions from the image data from at least one light detector and translates the position to control a feature on a display.

Koike et al. teaches the control unit detects position of the hand-held device (See Fig. 1, item 32, Col. 7, Lines 37-49) in at least two-dimensions from the image data from at least one

Art Unit: 2673

light detector and translates the position to control a feature on a display (See Fig. 22a, items 20, 200, from Col. 21, Line 65 to Col. 22, Line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to detect position of the hand-held device as shown by Koike et al. in the Lin apparatus in order to control cursor with high precision (See Col. 1, Lines 40-41 in the Koike et al. reference).

As to claim 2, Lin teaches at least one light detector is a digital camera (See Fig. 1, item 30, in description see Col. 3, lines 32-45).

As to claim 3, Lin teaches digital camera captures a sequence of digital images that include the light emitted by the hand-held device transmitted to the control unit (See Fig. 1, items 30-31, 63a-63n, in description See Col. 4, Lines 17-33).

As to claim 4, Lin teaches the control unit comprises an image detection algorithm that detects the image of the light of the hand-held device in the sequence of images transmitted from the digital camera (See Fig. 1, items 16, 33,35, 63a-63n, in description See Col. 4, Lines 33-54).

As to claim 5, Lin teaches the control unit maps a position of the detected hand-held device in the images to a display space for the display (See Fig. 1, items 13,16, 37, in description See Col. 6, Lines 6-20).

As to claim 6, Lin teaches the mapped position in the display space controls the movement of a feature in the display space (See Fig. 1, items 13,16, 37, in description See Col. 6, Lines 21-45).

As to claim 7, Lin teaches the feature in the display space is a cursor (See Col. 1, Lines 27-46).

Art Unit: 2673

As to claims 8-9, Lin teaches the captured images processed by the control unit for the purpose of teleconferencing (presentation), image transmission, and image recognition (See Col. 2, lines 30-34).

2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rice et al. (US Patent 5,973,672) in view of Koike et al.

Rice at al. teaches a system comprising two or more movable hand-held devices, each hand-held device with at least one light source at least one of the two or more movable hand-held device being capable of sending control signals to a remotely controllable device (See Fig. 1, items 15,25, in description See from Col. 1, Line 61 to Col. 2, Line 54), at least one light detector detecting light from the at least one light source of each of the two or more hand-held devices (See Fig. 1, item 18, in description See Col. 1, Line 61 to Col. 2, Line 26), a control unit that receives image data from the at least one light detector (See Fig. 1, items 19-23, in description See Col. 1, Line 61 to Col. 2, Line 26), wherein the control unit detects wherein the control unit detects position of the light spot on a screen of the two or more movable hand-held devices in at least two dimensions from the image data from the at least one light detector and translates the positions for each of the two or more movable hand-held devices to separately control two or more respective features on a display (See Fig. 1, items 19-23, in description See Col. 1, Line 61 to Col. 2, Line 26).

Rice et al. does not teach the control unit detects position of the hand-held device-in at least two-dimensions from the image data from at least one light detector and translates the position to control a feature on a display.

Art Unit: 2673

Koike et al. teaches the control unit detects position of the hand-held device (See Fig. 1, item 32, Col. 7, Lines 37-49) in at least two-dimensions from the image data from at least one light detector and translates the position to control a feature on a display (See Fig. 22a, items 20, 200, from Col. 21, Line 65 to Col. 22, Line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to detect position of the hand-held device as shown by Koike et al. in the Rice et al. apparatus in order to control cursors with high precision (See Col. 1, Lines 40-41 in the Koike et al. reference).

3. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 1 in view of Kim. et al. (US Patent No. 6,424,335 B1).

Lin and Koike et al. do not show at least one light source is an LED.

Kim et al. teaches LED as light source See Fig. 1, item 100, in description See Col. 8, Lines 44-58).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use LED as light source as shown by Kim et al. in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus in order to achieve wireless input device which is energy efficient, consistent with operating the input device an extended distance from the computer (See Coll. 3, Line 66 to Col. 4, Line 2 in the Kim et al reference).

4. Claims 11-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin, Kim et al. and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 1 in view of Fitts (US Patent No. 5,175,601).

Art Unit: 2673

As to claims 11-12, Lin and Koike et al. do not show two digital cameras each capture a sequence of digital images that include the light emitted by the hand-held device, transmitted by each camera to the control unit.

Fitts teaches two cameras with digitizers and processing of digitized images (See Fig. 1, items 2-3, 13,15, in description See Col. 7, Lines 45-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two cameras as shown by Fitts in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to allow two digital cameras each capture a sequence of digital images that include the light emitted by the hand-held device, transmitted by each camera to the control unit in order to permit on line interaction (See Coll. 6, Line 45-46 in the Fitts reference).

As to claim 13, Lin teaches an image detection algorithm that detects the image of the light of the hand-held device in each sequence of images transmitted from digital camera (See Fig. 1, items 16, 33,35, 63a-63n, in description See Col. 4, Lines 33-54).

Lin and Koike et al. do not show two digital cameras.

Fitts teaches two cameras with digitizers and processing of digitized images (See Fig. 1, items 2-3, 13,15, in description See Col. 7, Lines 45-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two cameras as shown by Fitts in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to develop an image detection algorithm that detects the image of the light of the hand-held device in each sequence of images transmitted from two digital cameras in order to permit on line interaction (See Coll. 6, Line 45-46 in the Fitts reference).

Art Unit: 2673

As to claim 14, Lin and Koike et al. do not show the control unit with depth detection algorithm that uses the position of the light in the images received from each of the two cameras to determine a depth parameter from a change in a depth position of the hand-held device.

Fitts teaches two cameras with digitizers and processing of digitized images (See Fig. 1, items 2-3, 13,15, in description See Col. 7, Lines 45-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two cameras as shown by Fitts in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to develop depth detection algorithm that uses the position of the light in the images received from each of the two cameras to determine a depth parameter from a change in a depth position of the hand-held device cameras in order to permit on line interaction (See Coll. 6, Line 45-46 in the Fitts reference).

As to claims 15-16, Lin and Koike et al. do not show the control unit maps a position of detected hand-held device in at least one of the images from one of the cameras and depth parameter to a 3D rendering in a display space and mapped position controls the movement of a feature in 3D rendering in the display space.

Fitts teaches to use identifiable points on 3-D surface and measuring X-Y-Z coordinates of these points based on knowledge of how two cameras are referenced to each other (See Fig. 1, items 2-3, 12, in description See Col. 3, Lines 33-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two cameras as shown by Fitts and Koike et al. in the Lin apparatus to map a position of detected hand-held device in at least one of the images from one of the cameras and depth parameter to a 3D rendering in a display space and mapped position controls the movement of a

Art Unit: 2673

feature in 3D rendering in the display space in order to permit on line interaction (See Coll. 6, Line 45-46 in the Fitts reference).

6. Claims 17-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 1 in view of Arita et al. (US Patent No. 6,188,388 B1).

As to claims 17-18, Lin and Koike et al. do not show two light sources in one hand-held unit and the digital camera captures a sequence of digital images that includes the light from the two light sources of the hand-held, the sequence of images transmitted to the control unit.

Arita et al. teaches two light sources in one hand-held unit (See Fig. 1, 9-10, items Pb, Kh, Ki, in description See Col.12, Lines 11-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two light sources as shown by Arita et al. in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to allow the digital camera captures a sequence of digital images that includes the light from the two light sources of the hand-held, the sequence of images transmitted to the control unit in order to improve precision (See Coll. 4, Line 5-8 in the Arita et al. reference).

As to claim 19, Lin show the control unit has an image detection algorithm (in abstract Lin identifies gesture spatial pattern which obviously if not inherently includes detection algorithm) Lin does not show detecting the image of the two light sources in the sequence of images transmitted from the digital camera.

Arita et al. teaches two light sources in one hand-held unit (See Fig. 1, 9-10, items Pb, Kh, Ki, in description See Col.12, Lines 11-25).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two light sources as shown by Arita et al. in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to allow an image detection algorithm that detects the image of the two light sources in the sequence of images transmitted from the digital camera in order to improve precision (See Coll. 4, Line 5-8 in the Arita et al. reference).

As to claims 20-21, Lin and Koike et al. do not show and detected angular aspect of the hand-held device from the images of the two light sources does not maps angular aspect to a display space.

Arita et al. teaches two light sources in one hand-held unit (See Fig. 1, 9-10, items Pb, Kh, Ki, in description See Col.12, Lines 11-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two light sources as shown by Arita et al. in the Lin and Koike et al. apparatus to allow show and detected angular aspect of the hand-held device from the images of the two light sources does not maps angular aspect to a display space in order to improve precision (See Coll. 4, Line 5-8 in the Arita et al. reference).

7. Claim 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin, Arita et al. and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 1 in view of Fitts.

Lin, Arita et al. and Koike et al. do not show light source emits visible light.

Fitts teaches visible light as light source (See Fig. 1, item 8, in description See Col. 8, Lines 33-35).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use visible light source as shown by Fitts in the Lin, Arita et al. and Koike et al. apparatus.

8. Claims 24-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice et al. and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 23 in view Kim et al.

Rice et al. and Koike et al. do not show the at least one light source of the two or more hand-held devices each turn on and off at a flashing frequency and emit light at a flashing wavelength with different frequencies.

Kim et al. teaches digital pulse format suitable for infrared transmission and detection as well known (see Fig. 2C, item 180, in description See Col. 8, Lines 44-58).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use pulsing sources as shown by Kim et al. in the Rice et al. and Koike et al. apparatus at a flashing wavelength with different frequencies in order to allow input device is energy efficient (See Coll. 3, Line 66-67 in the Kim et al. reference).

9. Claim 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice et al., Kim et al. and Koike et al. as aforementioned in claim 26 in view of Fitts.

Rice et al., Kim et al. and Koike et al. do not show light source emits visible light.

Fitts teaches visible light as light source (See Fig. 1, item 8, in description See Col. 8, Lines 33-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use visible light source as shown by Fitts in the Rice et al., Kim et al. and Koike et al. apparatus.

Art Unit: 2673

## Response to Amendment

10. Applicant's arguments filed on 02-05-04 with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ls

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER